

Fiscal Estimate — 2009 Session

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original	<input type="checkbox"/> Updated	LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
<input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	<input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental	Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number WM-15-10

Subject

Use of archery deer hunting licenses and carcass tags.

Fiscal Effect

State: ☒ No State Fiscal Effect
☐ Indeterminate

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation
or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues
☐ Create New Appropriation

☐ Increase Costs — May be possible to absorb
within agency's budget.

☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Decrease Costs

Local: ☐ No Local Government Costs

☐ Indeterminate

1. ☐ Increase Costs
 ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
2. ☐ Decrease Costs
 ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory

3. ☐ Increase Revenues
 ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
4. ☐ Decrease Revenues
 ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:

☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities

☐ Counties ☐ Others

☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S

Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Currently, each license which authorizes archery deer hunting includes one carcass tag that is valid for taking an antlered buck in any management unit and one tag which is valid for one antlerless deer in any management unit. This proposal would limit the areas where the antlerless carcass tag is valid to only management units for which an antlerless deer harvest quota has been established and CWD units.

Under current rules, firearm season hunters are not allowed to harvest antlerless deer in zero quota units but archery hunters may. Eliminating archery hunter's ability to harvest antlerless deer in units for which no antlerless quota has been established will make regulations more consistent between the firearm and archery seasons. In deer management units that are below their overwinter population goals, reduced antlerless harvest will help to achieve overwinter goals by allowing additional population growth.

This proposed rule does not create license types or new license issuance procedures. It will require updating printed instructions on carcass tags which can be done when annual updates are made and will result in no fiscal impact. It is not anticipated that this change will have an impact on license sales because the harvest of antlered bucks is still allowed in all units statewide. The ability to harvest an antlered deer is, for most hunters, the primary feature of the archery deer hunting license. Additionally, the harvest of antlerless deer will still be allowed in most units.

In 2009 there were 13 units for which no antlerless harvest quota was established out of a total 144 units including state parks.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Prepared By:	Telephone No.	Agency
Joe Polasek	266-2794	Department of Natural Resources
Authorized Signature	Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)
	266-2794	

Fiscal Estimate — 2009 Session

Page 2 Assumptions Narrative Continued

LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number WM-15-10

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate – Continued

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet — 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☐ Original ☐ Updated
☐ Corrected ☐ Supplemental

LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number WM-15-10

Subject

Use of archery deer hunting licenses and carcass tags.

One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

None

Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category			
State Operations — Salaries and Fringes		\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(FTE)
State Operations — Other Costs			-
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-
Total State Costs by Category		\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR		\$	\$ -
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
State Revenues		Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -
GPR Earned			-
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S		0	-
Total State Revenues		\$ 0	\$ -

Net Annualized Fiscal Impact

	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
Net Change in Costs	\$	\$
Net Change in Revenues	\$ 0	\$ 0

Prepared By:	Telephone No.	Agency
Joe Polasek	266-2794	Department of Natural Resources
Authorized Signature	Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)
	266-2794	